Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/004,287	BROWNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	

All Participants:

(1) *Venkataraman Balasubramanian*.

(2) *William Kezer*.

Status of Application: *After Final Allowance*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *20 May 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 1, 43 and 64.

Claims discussed:

1, 43 and 64

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendment to claims 1, 43 and 64, raised a 112 second paragraph issue. Counselor agreed to replace " and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof" with " or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof". Such will be done by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)